Mauricio Romero



Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Examples

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

Examples

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

What about the producers in the economy? There are two cases.

1. There are no producers in the economy: this is what is called a pure exchange economy in which all available goods are those coming from endowments from consumers (up until now)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

2. There are producers who can produce commodities in the economy (today)

Each firm j is characterized by two characteristics:

1. A production function f_i^l for producing that good *l*.

The firm j has a production function of the form:

$$f_j^{\prime}(z^{j,\ell}) = f_j(z_1^{j,\ell}, z_2^{j,\ell}, \ldots, z_L^{j,\ell}).$$

< ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ つへの

The firm *j* has a production function of the form:

$$f_j^{\prime}(z^{j,l}) = f_j(z_1^{j,l}, z_2^{j,l}, \ldots, z_L^{j,l}).$$

▶ $z^{j,l}$ for firm *j* describes the vector of inputs that firm *j* uses in the production of good $\ell(l)$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

In other words, firm j uses z_i^{j,l} units of commodity i to produce commodity l

- Firms are owned by consumers in society
- We need to describe who owns which firm

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Ownership is taken as exogenous...

- Firms are owned by consumers in society
- We need to describe who owns which firm
- Ownership is taken as exogenous... a more realistic model might involve consumers choosing which firms to own
- θ_{ij} will represent the **fraction** of firm j that is owned by consumer i

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Firms are owned by consumers in society
- We need to describe who owns which firm
- Ownership is taken as exogenous... a more realistic model might involve consumers choosing which firms to own
- θ_{ij} will represent the **fraction** of firm *j* that is owned by consumer *i*

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

For each firm j, $\theta_{ij} \in [0, 1]$

- Firms are owned by consumers in society
- We need to describe who owns which firm
- Ownership is taken as exogenous... a more realistic model might involve consumers choosing which firms to own
- θ_{ij} will represent the **fraction** of firm *j* that is owned by consumer *i*

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

For each firm
$$j$$
, $\theta_{ij} \in [0, 1]$

$$\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{I} \theta_{ij} = \theta_{1j} + \theta_{2j} + \dots + \theta_{lj} = 1$$

- Firms are owned by consumers in society
- We need to describe who owns which firm
- Ownership is taken as exogenous... a more realistic model might involve consumers choosing which firms to own
- θ_{ij} will represent the **fraction** of firm *j* that is owned by consumer *i*
- For each firm j, $\theta_{ij} \in [0, 1]$

$$\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{I} \theta_{ij} = \theta_{1j} + \theta_{2j} + \dots + \theta_{lj} = 1$$

An implicit assumption here is that firms do not have any endowments

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Examples

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

Examples

▲□▶▲圖▶★≣▶★≣▶ ≣ の�?

Definition $((x^*, z^*), p = (p_1, \dots, p_L))$ is a competitive equilibrium if:

1. For all producers
$$j = 1, 2, ..., J$$
,
 $z^{j^*} = ((z_1^{j,1^*}, ..., z_L^{j,1^*}), ..., (z_1^{j,L^*}, ..., z_L^{j,L^*}))$ solves:
 $\Pi_j^* := \max_{z^j} p_{\ell(j)} f_j(z_1^j, ..., z_L^j) - \sum_{\ell'=1}^L p_{\ell'} z_{\ell'}^j.$

2. For all consumers i = 1, 2, ..., I, $x^{i^*} = (x_1^{i^*}, ..., x_L^{i^*})$ solves:

$$\max_{x^i} u_i(x^i)$$

such that $p \cdot x^i \leq p \cdot \omega^i + \sum_{j=1}^J \theta_{ij} \prod_j^*$.

(i)

3. Markets clear: For each commodity $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} x_{\ell}^{i^{*}} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\ell'=1}^{L} z_{\ell'}^{j,\ell'} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \omega_{\ell}^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} f_{j}^{\ell} \left(z_{1}^{j,\ell^{*}}, \dots, z_{L}^{j,\ell^{*}} \right).$$

We have exactly the same basic properties as in the case of pure exchange economies

- 1. When utility functions are strictly monotone, and production functions are strictly increasing, prices of each commodity and prices of each input are strictly positive
- 2. Walras' Law: Each consumer *i* spends all of his income whenever *i* maximizes utility
- 3. Walra's Law II: If the market clearing conditions hold for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$ and $p_L > 0$ then it will also hold for market L as well.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- 4. If (x^*, z^*, p) is a Walrasian equilibrium, and $\alpha > 0$, $(x^*, z^*, \alpha p)$ is also a Walrasian equilbrium.
- 5. The first and the second welfare theorems hold

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Examples

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

Examples

▲□▶▲圖▶★≣▶★≣▶ ≣ の�?

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Examples Robinson Crusoe Two Factor Model 1. Imagine the problem of Robinson Crusoe, living alone in an island. He is the only producer and the only consumer

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Suppose that the consumer (Robinson) has a utility function:

$$u(x,L)=x^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha},$$

where x are coconuts. There is one firm (Robinson) that can convert labor to coconuts:

$$f_x(L)=L_x^\beta.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

The endowment is $(0, \overline{L})$

A competitive equilibrium (x^*, L^*, L_x^*, p, w) satisfies the following:

1. L_x^* solves the following maximization problem:

$$\Pi^* := \max_{L_x} p L_x^\beta - w L_x.$$

2. (x^*, L^*) satisfies the following:

 $\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + wL \leq w\bar{L} + \Pi^*.$

3. $x^* = L_x^{*\beta}$ and $L + L_x = \bar{L}$.

< ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ つへの

▶ $p_x > 0$ and w = 0 cannot happen (why?)

- ▶ $p_x > 0$ and w = 0 cannot happen (why?)
- ▶ $p_x = 0$ and w > 0 cannot happen (why?)

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

- ▶ p_x > 0 and w = 0 cannot happen (why?)
- $p_x = 0$ and w > 0 cannot happen (why?)
- both p_x, w > 0 in a competitive equilibrium. We can normalize w = 1

- We first solve the profit maximization
- This is usually a good first step because the profit enters into the demand function
- We first solve the profit maximization

For any (p, w = 1), we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x} p L_x^\beta - L_x.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- We first solve the profit maximization
- This is usually a good first step because the profit enters into the demand function
- We first solve the profit maximization

For any (p, w = 1), we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x} p L_x^\beta - L_x.$$

First order conditions yield:

$$L_x^*(p) = (p\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- We first solve the profit maximization
- This is usually a good first step because the profit enters into the demand function
- We first solve the profit maximization

For any (p, w = 1), we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x} p L_x^\beta - L_x.$$

First order conditions yield:

$$L_x^*(p) = (p\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$$

Therefore,

$$\Pi^*(p) = p(p\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - (p\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} = p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right).$$

- We first solve the profit maximization
- This is usually a good first step because the profit enters into the demand function
- We first solve the profit maximization

For any (p, w = 1), we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x} p L_x^\beta - L_x.$$

First order conditions yield:

$$L_x^*(p) = (p\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$$

Therefore,

$$\Pi^*(p) = p(p\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - (p\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} = p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right).$$

The supply of *x* is then given by:

$$x^{s}(p) = L_{x}^{*}(p)^{\beta} = (p\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}$$

To solve for the demand curve $x^{d}(p)$, $L^{d}(p)$, we solve:

$$\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + L \leq \overline{L} + \Pi^*(p).$$

To solve for the demand curve $x^{d}(p)$, $L^{d}(p)$, we solve:

$$\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + L \leq \bar{L} + \Pi^*(p).$$

By the first order condition, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{x}=p.$$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うへぐ

To solve for the demand curve $x^{d}(p)$, $L^{d}(p)$, we solve:

$$\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + L \leq \bar{L} + \Pi^*(p)$$

By the first order condition, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{x}=p.$$

Substituting this back into the budget constraint, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}L+L=\bar{L}+p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}-\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

To solve for the demand curve $x^{d}(p)$, $L^{d}(p)$, we solve:

$$\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + L \leq \bar{L} + \Pi^*(p)$$

By the first order condition, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{x}=p.$$

Substituting this back into the budget constraint, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}L + L = \bar{L} + p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right).$$

Thus,

$$L^{d}(p) = (1 - \alpha) \left(\overline{L} + p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \right) \right).$$

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山口・

To solve for the demand curve $x^{d}(p)$, $L^{d}(p)$, we solve:

$$\max_{x,L} x^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \text{ such that } px + L \leq \bar{L} + \Pi^*(p)$$

By the first order condition, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{x}=p.$$

Substituting this back into the budget constraint, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}L + L = \bar{L} + p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}^{d}(\boldsymbol{p}) = (1-\alpha)\left(\bar{\mathcal{L}} + \boldsymbol{p}^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right)\right).$$

Then

$$x^{d}(p) = rac{lpha}{p} \left(\overline{L} + p^{rac{1}{1-eta}} \left(eta^{rac{eta}{1-eta}} - eta^{rac{1}{1-eta}}
ight)
ight).$$

・ロト・四ト・モート ヨー うへの

We only need to check one market clearing condition (why?)

We only need to check one market clearing condition (why?) So lets check the market clearing condition for *x*:

$$x^d(p)=x^s(p).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We only need to check one market clearing condition (why?) So lets check the market clearing condition for *x*:

$$x^d(p)=x^s(p).$$

As a result,

$$\alpha\left(\bar{L}+p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}-\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right)\right)=p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

We only need to check one market clearing condition (why?) So lets check the market clearing condition for *x*:

$$x^d(p)=x^s(p).$$

As a result,

$$\alpha\left(\bar{L}+p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}-\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right)\right)=p^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}.$$

Solving this, we obtain:

$$\rho^* = \left(\frac{\alpha \bar{L}}{\alpha \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} + (1-\alpha)\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{1-\beta}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

٠

To solve for x^* , L^* , L^*_x , we plug the price back into the demand and supply functions:

$$x^* = x^s(p^*) = \left(\frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\alpha\bar{L}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}(1-\alpha) + \alpha\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{\beta}$$
$$L^* = \bar{L} - L_x^* = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha + \alpha\beta}\bar{L}$$
$$L_x^* = L_x^*(p^*) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{1-\alpha + \alpha\beta}\bar{L}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

To solve for x^* , L^* , L^*_x , we plug the price back into the demand and supply functions:

$$x^* = x^s(p^*) = \left(\frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\alpha\bar{L}}{\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}(1-\alpha) + \alpha\beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}}\right)^{\beta}$$
$$L^* = \bar{L} - L^*_x = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha\beta}\bar{L}$$
$$L^*_x = L^*_x(p^*) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{1-\alpha+\alpha\beta}\bar{L}$$

We can also solve for the profits of the firm in equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^*(p^*) &= p^* \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha \bar{L}}{\alpha \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} + (1-\alpha) \beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}} \left(\beta^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Introducing production

General equilibrium with production

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Examples Robinson Crusoe Two Factor Model Suppose that there is one consumer with a utility function:

$$u(x,y) = x^{1/2}y^{1/2}$$

There are two firms:

$$f_x(L_x, K_x) = L_x^{1/2} K_x^{1/2},$$

$$f_y(L_y, K_y) = L_y^{1/2} K_y^{1/2}.$$

The endowments are given by $\bar{L} = 1$, $\bar{K} = 1$, and 0 units of x and y.

What is a competitive equilibrium in this economy?

What is a competitive equilibrium in this economy? We must describe

$$(x^*, y^*, L_x^*, K_x^*, L_y^*, K_y^*, p_x, p_y, r, w).$$

< ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ つへの

What is a competitive equilibrium in this economy? We must describe

$$(x^*, y^*, L_x^*, K_x^*, L_y^*, K_y^*, p_x, p_y, r, w).$$

All equilibrium prices will be strictly positive in equilibrium, hence assume $p_x = 1$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- A competitive equilibrium must satisfy the following conditions:
 - 1. Profit maximization problems: (L_x^*, K_x^*) solves:

$$\Pi_x^* := \max_{L_x, K_x} f_x(L_x, K_x) - wL_x - rK_x$$

 (L_y^*, K_y^*) solves: $\Pi_y^* := \max_{L_y, K_y} p_y f_y(L_y, K_y) - wL_y - rK_y$

2. Utility maximization: (x^*, y^*) solves:

$$\max_{x,y} \sqrt{xy} \text{ such that } x + p_y y \leq r\bar{K} + w\bar{L} + \Pi_x^* + \Pi_y^*.$$

3. Markets clear:

$$x^* = f_x(L^*_x, K^*_x), y^* = f_y(L^*_y, K^*_y), L^*_x + L^*_y = \bar{L}, K^*_x + K^*_y = \bar{K}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへの

We solve for profit maximization first (because Π^{*}_x and Π^{*}_y enter into the the consumer's problem)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We solve for profit maximization first (because Π^{*}_x and Π^{*}_y enter into the the consumer's problem)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Both firms make zero profits. Why?

- We solve for profit maximization first (because Π^{*}_x and Π^{*}_y enter into the the consumer's problem)
- Both firms make zero profits. Why?
 - This does not always happen (In the previous example, the firm made strictly positive profits)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- We solve for profit maximization first (because Π^{*}_x and Π^{*}_y enter into the the consumer's problem)
- Both firms make zero profits. Why?
 - This does not always happen (In the previous example, the firm made strictly positive profits)
 - this is because the production function here is of *constant* returns to scale

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- We solve for profit maximization first (because Π^{*}_x and Π^{*}_y enter into the the consumer's problem)
- Both firms make zero profits. Why?
 - This does not always happen (In the previous example, the firm made strictly positive profits)
 - this is because the production function here is of *constant* returns to scale
 - If the firm made strictly positive profits, then it could not be making maximal profits since it could double profits by multiplying all inputs by two

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces x

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces x For any $(p_x = 1, p_y, w, r)$, we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x,K_x} L_x^{1/2} K_x^{1/2} - L_x w - K_x r$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces x For any $(p_x = 1, p_y, w, r)$, we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x,K_x} L_x^{1/2} K_x^{1/2} - L_x w - K_x r$$

First order conditions yield:

$$\frac{K_x}{L_x} = \frac{w}{r}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces x For any $(p_x = 1, p_y, w, r)$, we want to solve:

$$\max_{L_x,K_x} L_x^{1/2} K_x^{1/2} - L_x w - K_x r$$

First order conditions yield:

$$\frac{K_x}{L_x} = \frac{w}{r}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{w}{r}\right)^{1/2} L_x^{1/2} L_x^{1/2} - L_x w - \frac{w}{r} L_x r = 0$$
$$\left(\frac{w}{r}\right)^{1/2} - 2w = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{2} = w^{1/2} r^{1/2}$$
$$\frac{1}{4} = wr$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ ▲国 ● のへで

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces y

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces y

$$\max_{L_y,K_y} p_y L_y^{1/2} K_y^{1/2} - L_y w - K_y r$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces y

$$\max_{L_y,K_y} p_y L_y^{1/2} K_y^{1/2} - L_y w - K_y r$$

First order conditions yield:

$$\frac{K_y}{L_y} = \frac{w}{r}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We solve the profit maximization of the firm that produces y

$$\max_{L_y,K_y} p_y L_y^{1/2} K_y^{1/2} - L_y w - K_y r$$

First order conditions yield:

$$\frac{K_y}{L_y} = \frac{w}{r}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{K_y}{L_y} = \frac{K_x}{L_x}$$

$$\frac{1 - K_x}{1 - L_x} = \frac{K_x}{L_x}$$

$$L_x - K_x L_x = K_x - K_x L_x$$

$$L_x = K_x$$

$$w = r$$

$$\frac{1}{4} = wr$$

We cannot solve for the supply function because the firm obtains zero profit regardless of how much it produces

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

But we already know the prices!

$$\max_{x,y} \sqrt{xy} \text{ such that } x + y \leq 1.$$

The solution to this gives:

$$x^* = y^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

By market clearing we must have:

$$\frac{1}{2} = L_x^* = K_x^* = L_y^* = K_y^*,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ