Mauricio Romero

Cournot n-firms

Bertrand n-firms

Cournot n-firms

Bertrand n-firms

► Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE

► Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE

Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

► Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE

Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

Can cooperation occur in multi-period games?

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Firm *i*'s best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} \frac{q_1 + \dots + q_N q_i}{2}$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Firm i's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} \frac{q_1 + \cdots + q_N q_i}{2}$
 - Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{(a-c)}{(N+1)b}$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Firm *i*'s best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} \frac{q_1 + \cdots + q_N q_i}{2}$
 - Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{(a-c)}{(N+1)b}$
 - ► Market price: $p^* = \frac{1}{(N+1)}a + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Firm *i*'s best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} \frac{q_1 + \cdots + q_N q_i}{2}$
 - Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{(a-c)}{(N+1)b}$
 - Market price: $p^* = \frac{1}{(N+1)}a + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$
 - Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = \frac{(a-c)^2}{(N+1)^2 b}$

- ► N-firm Cournot setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Firm *i*'s best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} \frac{q_1 + \cdots + q_N q_i}{2}$
 - Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{(a-c)}{(N+1)b}$
 - Market price: $p^* = \frac{1}{(N+1)}a + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$
 - Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = \frac{(a-c)^2}{(N+1)^2 b}$
 - Note: as N grows large, $p^* \to c$ and $\pi^* \to 0$, as in PC

- ▶ If firms cooperate: $\max_q = Nq(a b(Nq) c) \rightarrow q^c = \frac{(a-c)}{2bN}$
- $ightharpoonup p^c = \frac{a+c}{2}$, higher than p^* .
- $\pi^c = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4bN}$, higher than π^* .
- But why can't each firm do this? Because NE condition is not satisfied: $\max_{q_i} \pi_i = \max_{q_i} q_i \left(a b \left((N-1) \frac{(a-c)}{2bN} + q_i \right) c \right) \to q^d = \frac{(a-c)(n+1)}{4Nb}$
- ▶ So the profits from deviating are: $\pi^d = \frac{(n+1)^2(a-c)^2}{16bn^2}$
- What if we repeat the game?

2-period Cournot game

► Second period: unique NE in these subgames (play the NE)

First period: Given that NE in $t = 2 \longrightarrow$ unique SPNE is to play the NE of the stage game in both periods.

► What about 3 periods?

► What about *N* periods?

► Infinitely repeated game

- ► Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

- ► Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:
 - 1. In period t, firm i plays $q_{it} = q^c$ if $q_{-i,t-1} = q^c$.

item Play
$$q^*$$
 if $q_{-i,t-1} \neq q^c$

Firm *i* cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm *i* produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

Consider firm i (symmetric for all other firms) There are two relevant subgames for firm i

▶ After a period in which cheating (either by himself or the other firm) has occurred

ightharpoonup Proposed strategy prescribes playing q^* forever (by all firms)

 \blacktriangleright This is NE of the subgame: playing q^* is a best-response to other firms playing q^*

This satisfies SPE conditions.

- After a period when no cheating has occurred
- Proposed strategy prescribes cooperating and playing q^c , with discounted PV of payoffs $=\pi^c/(1-\delta)$
- ▶ The best other possible strategy is to play $BR_1(q_{-i}^c) \equiv q_i^d$ this period, but then be faced with $q_2 = q^*$ forever
- ▶ This yields discounted PV = $\pi^d + \delta(\pi^*/(1-\delta))$
- In order for q_c to be NE of this subgame, require $\pi^c/(1-\delta) > \pi^d + \delta(\pi^*/(1-\delta))$

$$\pi^{c}/(1-\delta) > \pi^{d} + \delta(\pi^{*}/(1-\delta))$$

$$\qquad \qquad \frac{(a-c)^2}{4bN(1-\delta)} > \frac{(n+1)^2(a-c)^2}{16bn^2} + \delta\left(\frac{(a-c)^2}{(N+1)^2b(1-\delta)}\right)$$

$$\delta > \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2+6b+1}$$

ightharpoonup This value increases with n (i.e., collusion is harder to maintain as the number of firms grows)

Cournot n-firms

Bertrand n-firms

Cournot n-firms

Bertrand n-firms

▶ Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

▶ Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

▶ Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

► Can cooperation occur in multi-period games?

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

- ► N-firm Bertrand setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$

- ► N-firm Bertrand setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$

- ▶ N-firm Bertrand setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$

- ► N-firm Bertrand setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$
 - Market price: $p^* = c$

- ▶ N-firm Bertrand setting game
 - Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N)$
 - Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a b(q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_N) c)$
 - Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$
 - Market price: $p^* = c$
 - Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = 0$

- ▶ If firms cooperate: $\max_p = p \frac{a-p}{b} \rightarrow p = \frac{a+c}{2}$
- $ightharpoonup q^c = \frac{a-c}{2bN}$
- $\pi^c = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4bN}$, higher than π^* .
- ▶ But why can't each firm do this? Because NE condition is not satisfied. If everyone else plays $p = \frac{a+c}{2}$, I charge ε less, and essentially get the monopoly earnings all for my self
- ▶ So the profits from deviating are: $\pi^d = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4b}$
- What if we repeat the game?

2-period Cournot game

► Second period: unique NE in these subgames (play the NE)

First period: Given that NE in $t = 2 \longrightarrow$ unique SPNE is to play the NE of the stage game in both periods.

► What about 3 periods?

► What about *N* periods?

► Infinitely repeated game

- ► Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

- ► Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?
 - lacktriangle Discount rate $\delta \in [0,1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - ▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^n x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:
 - 1. In period t, firm i plays $q_{it} = q^c$ if $q_{-i,t-1} = q^c$.

item Play
$$q^*$$
 if $q_{-i,t-1} \neq q^c$

Firm *i* cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm *i* produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

Consider firm i (symmetric for all other firms) There are two relevant subgames for firm i

After a period in which cheating (either by himself or the other firm) has occurred

ightharpoonup Proposed strategy prescribes playing q^* forever (by all firms)

▶ This is NE of the subgame: playing q^* is a best-response to other firms playing q^*

This satisfies SPE conditions.

- After a period when no cheating has occurred
- Proposed strategy prescribes cooperating and playing q^c , with discounted PV of payoffs $=\pi^c/(1-\delta)$
- ▶ The best other possible strategy is to play $BR_1(q_{-i}^c) \equiv q_i^d$ this period, but then be faced with $q_2 = q^*$ forever
- ▶ This yields discounted PV = $\pi^d + \delta(\pi^*/(1-\delta))$
- In order for q_c to be NE of this subgame, require $\pi^c/(1-\delta) > \pi^d + \delta(\pi^*/(1-\delta))$

$$ightharpoonup \pi^{c}/(1-\delta) > \pi^{d} + \delta(\pi^{*}/(1-\delta))$$

$$ightharpoonup rac{(a-c)^2}{4bN(1-\delta)} > rac{(a-c)^2}{4b} + \delta 0$$

$$\delta > \frac{n-1}{n}$$

ightharpoonup This value increases with n (i.e., collusion is harder to maintain as the number of firms grows)