



Lecture20...

Lecture 20: Infinitely Repeated Games
Mauricio Romero

Lecture 20: Infinitely Repeated Games

- Cournot n-firms
- Bertrand n-firms

Lecture 20: Infinitely Repeated Games

- Cournot n-firms
- Bertrand n-firms

- ▶ Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE

- ▶ Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE
- ▶ Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

- ▶ Single-period non-cooperative Cournot game: unique NE
- ▶ Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)
- ▶ Can cooperation occur in multi-period games?

- ▶ N-firm Cournot setting game

- ▶ N-firm Cournot setting game
 - ▶ Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$
- Firm i 's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{b-1}{2}q_{-i}$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$
- Firm i 's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{b-1}{2}q_{-i}$
- Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{a-c}{b(N+1)}$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$
- Firm i 's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{b-1}{2}q_{-i}$
- Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{a-c}{b(N+1)}$
- Market price: $p^* = \frac{a}{(N+1)} + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$
- Firm i 's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{b-1}{2}q_{-i}$
- Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{a-c}{b(N+1)}$
- Market price: $p^* = \frac{a}{(N+1)} + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$
- Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = \frac{(a-c)^2}{b(N+1)^2}$

► N-firm Cournot setting game

- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N)) - c q_i$
- Firm i 's best-response function: $BR_i(q_{-i}) = \frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{b-1}{2}q_{-i}$
- Symmetric NE quantities: $q^* = \frac{a-c}{b(N+1)}$
- Market price: $p^* = \frac{a}{(N+1)} + \frac{N}{(N+1)}c$
- Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = \frac{(a-c)^2}{b(N+1)^2}$
- Note: as N grows large, $p^* \rightarrow c$ and $\pi^* \rightarrow 0$, as in PC

► If firms cooperate: $\max_q \pi = Nq(a - b(Nq) - c) \rightarrow q^c = \frac{a-c}{2bN}$

- $p^c = \frac{a+c}{2}$, higher than p^* .
- $\pi^c = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4bN}$, higher than π^* .
- But why can't each firm do this? Because NE condition is not satisfied:
 $\max_{q_i} \pi_i = \max_{q_i} q_i \left(a - b \left((N-1) \frac{a-c}{2bN} + q_i \right) - c \right) \rightarrow q^d = \frac{a-c(N+1)}{2bN}$
- So the profits from deviating are: $\pi^d = \frac{(a-c)^2(N+1)^2}{4b^2N^2} \rightarrow \pi^d > \pi^c$
- What if we repeat the game?

2-period Cournot game

- Second period: unique NE in these subgames (play the NE)
- First period: Given that NE in $t = 2 \rightarrow$ unique SPNE is to play the NE of the stage game in both periods.
- What about 3 periods?
- What about N periods?

► Infinitely repeated game

▶ Infinitely repeated game

▶ Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

▶ Infinitely repeated game

▶ Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

▶ Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

▶ Infinitely repeated game

▶ Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

▶ Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^t x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

▶ Infinitely repeated game

▶ Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

▶ Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^t x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

▶ Consider the following strategy:

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

▶ Infinitely repeated game

▶ Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^c (cooperate) each period?

▶ Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

▶ Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^t x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

▶ Consider the following strategy:

- In period t , firm i plays $q_i = q^c$ if $q_{-i,t-1} = q^c$. Item Play q^c if $q_{-i,t-1} \neq q^c$.

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

Consider firm i (symmetric for all other firms)

There are two relevant subgames for firm i

- ▶ After a period in which cheating (either by himself or the other firm) has occurred
- ▶ Proposed strategy prescribes playing q^c forever (by all firms)
- ▶ This is NE of the subgame: playing q^c is a best-response to other firms playing q^c
- ▶ This satisfies SPE conditions.

- ▶ After a period when no cheating has occurred
- ▶ Proposed strategy prescribes cooperating and playing q^c , with discounted PV of payoffs $= \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$
- ▶ The best other possible strategy is to play $BR_i(q_{-i}^c) = q^d$ this period, but then be faced with $q_{-i} = q^c$ forever
- ▶ This yields discounted PV $= \pi^d + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$
- ▶ In order for q^c to be NE of this subgame, require $\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \pi^d + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \pi^d + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \frac{(n-1)\pi^c + \pi^d}{1-\delta} + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\delta > \frac{(n-1)\pi^c}{\pi^c + \pi^d}$

▶ This value increases with n (i.e., collusion is harder to maintain as the number of firms grows)

Lecture 20: Infinitely Repeated Games

Cournot n -firms

Bertrand n -firms

PERIODO I

NO DIS DESU ANTES (SOLAMENTE q^c $\forall i, \forall t \in T$)

YA DESU ANTES (ALGUIEN SECO $\rightarrow q^c$)

$\pi(DESU) = \frac{\pi(q^d, q^c)}{1-\delta} = \frac{\pi^d}{1-\delta} + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\pi(ND) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \pi^c \delta^t = \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\pi(ND) > \pi(DESU)$

$\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \pi^d + \delta \frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta}$

$\pi(ND) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \pi^c \delta^t$

$\pi(DESU) = \pi(q^d, q^c) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \pi^c \delta^t$

$\pi(ND) > \pi(DESU)$

EL q^c MAX CS q^c

Lecture 20: Infinitely Repeated Games

Cournot n-firms

Bertrand n-firms

► Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

► Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

► Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

► Single-period non-cooperative Bertrand game (with continuous prices): unique NE

► Firms produce higher-output, receive lower profits than if they cooperated (prisoners' dilemma)

► Can cooperation occur in multi-period games?

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

► Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

► Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$

► Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n) - c)$

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

► Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$

► Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n) - c)$

► Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$

► N-firm Bertrand setting game

► Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$

► Firm i's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n) - c)$

► Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$

► Market price: $p^* = c$

- N-firm Bertrand setting game
- Industry inverse demand curve: $p = a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi_i = q_i(a - b(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n) - c)$
- Symmetric NE prices: $p^* = c$
- Market price: $p^* = c$
- Per-firm profits: $\pi^* = 0$

- If firms cooperate: $\max_p = p \cdot \frac{a-p}{2n} \rightarrow p = \frac{a+c}{2}$
- $q^* = \frac{a-c}{4n}$
- $\pi^* = \frac{(a-c)^2}{16n}$, higher than π^* .
- But why can't each firm do this? Because NE condition is not satisfied. If everyone else plays $p = \frac{a+c}{2}$, I charge ϵ less, and essentially get the monopoly earnings all for my self
- So the profits from deviating are: $\pi^d = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4n}$
- What if we repeat the game?

2-period Cournot game

- Second period: unique NE in these subgames (play the NE)
- First period: Given that NE in $t = 2 \rightarrow$ unique SPNE is to play the NE of the stage game in both periods.
- What about 3 periods?
- What about N periods?

- Infinitely repeated game

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^* (cooperate) each period?

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^* (cooperate) each period?
 - Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^* (cooperate) each period?
 - Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^{t-1} x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^* (cooperate) each period?
 - Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^{t-1} x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:
 - In period t , firm i plays q^* if $\forall j \neq i, q_j = q^*$

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

- Infinitely repeated game
- Are there SPNE of this game in which both firms play q^* (cooperate) each period?
 - Discount rate $\delta \in [0, 1]$, which measures how "patient" a firm is
 - Property: $x + \delta x + \delta^2 x + \dots + \delta^{t-1} x + \dots = \frac{x}{1-\delta}$
 - Consider the following strategy:
 - In period t , firm i plays q^* if $\forall j \neq i, q_j = q^*$

Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: **Nash reversion** (or "grim strategy")

T

Desu Autos

$$\Pi(\text{no Desu}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \Pi^C \delta^t = \frac{\Pi^C}{1-\delta}$$

$$\Pi(\text{Desu}) = \Pi^d + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \Pi^* \delta^t = \Pi^d + \frac{\Pi^* \delta}{1-\delta}$$

$$\frac{\Pi^C}{1-\delta} > \Pi^d + \frac{\Pi^* \delta}{1-\delta}$$

"si Hubs Desu Autos"

$$U(\text{no Desu}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \Pi^C \delta^t$$

$$U(\text{Desu}) = \Pi(P^d, P^*) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \Pi^* \delta^t$$

$$U(\text{no Desu}) \geq U(\text{Desu})$$

1. In period t , firm i plays q^c or q^d .
 Item Play q^c or q^d .
 Firm i cooperates as long as it observes all other firms cooperating. If another firm cheats, firm i produces the Cournot-Nash quantity every period hereafter: "Nash reversion" (or "grim strategy").

Handwritten notes:

$$\pi(MD) > \pi(DESU)$$

$$\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \pi^d + \frac{\pi^c \delta}{1-\delta}$$

$$U(DESU) = \pi(P_i, P) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^t \pi^c$$

$$U(MD) \geq U(DESU)$$

Consider firm i (symmetric for all other firms)
 There are two relevant subgames for firm i

- ▶ After a period in which cheating (either by himself or the other firm) has occurred
- ▶ Proposed strategy prescribes playing q^c forever (by all firms)
- ▶ This is NE of the subgame: playing q^c is a best-response to other firms playing q^c
- ▶ This satisfies SPE conditions.

- ▶ After a period when no cheating has occurred
- ▶ Proposed strategy prescribes cooperating and playing q^c , with discounted PV of payoffs = $\pi^c/(1-\delta)$
- ▶ The best other possible strategy is to play $BR(q^c) = q^d$ this period, but then be faced with $q_c = q^c$ forever
- ▶ This yields discounted PV = $\pi^d + \delta(\pi^c/(1-\delta))$
- ▶ In order for q_c to be NE of this subgame, require $\pi^c/(1-\delta) > \pi^d + \delta(\pi^c/(1-\delta))$

- ▶ $\frac{\pi^c}{1-\delta} > \pi^d + \delta(\pi^c/(1-\delta))$
- ▶ $\frac{\pi^c - \pi^d}{1-\delta} > \frac{\delta(\pi^c - \pi^d)}{1-\delta} + \delta$
- ▶ $\delta > \frac{\pi^c - \pi^d}{\pi^c}$
- ▶ This value increases with n (i.e., collusion is harder to maintain as the number of firms grows)